

Please note: the substantive content of the 2026 NRI Roadmap Survey begins at Question 20 (with prior questions dealing with administrative and other information).

As such all submissions that are published include the responses submitted from Question 20 onwards only.

Q20.

Part 2: Research themes

2.1 NRI comprises the assets, facilities and associated expertise to support leading-edge research and innovation in Australia and is accessible to publicly and privately funded users across Australia and internationally. We are seeking your input on possible directions for future national-level investment - i.e., where the requirements are of such scale and importance that national-level collaboration and coordination are essential.

The [2021 Roadmap](#) used a challenge framework to support NRI planning and investment. With this in mind, consider likely future research trends in the next 5 - 10 years, and with respect to one or more of the 8 challenge areas identified in the 2021 Roadmap as listed below:

- describe emerging research directions and the associated critical research infrastructure requirements that are either not currently available at all, or not at sufficient scale and
- describe current national infrastructure requirements that you anticipate will no longer fit the definition of NRI in 5-10 years.

Do not limit your commentary to NCRIS funded capabilities.

Q21.

Resources Technology and Critical Minerals Processing

Q22.

Food and Beverage

Q23.
Medical Products

Q24.
Defence

Q25.
Recycling and Clean Energy

Q26.
Space

Q27.
Environment and Climate

Q28.
Frontier Technologies and Modern Manufacturing

Q29.

2.2 The 2024 statement of National Science and Research Priorities (NSRPs) includes outcomes linked to each priority to assist in identifying critical research needed in the next 5 to 10 years.

Consider the priority statements and, with respect to one or more of the 5 priority areas as listed below:

- describe emerging research directions and the associated critical research infrastructure requirements that are either not currently available at all, or
- not at sufficient scale and describe current national infrastructure requirements that you anticipate will no longer fit the definition of NRI in 5-10 years.

Do not limit your commentary to NCRIS funded capabilities, and where relevant, refer to the underpinning outcomes and research identified in the NSRPs document.

Q30.

Transitioning to a net zero future

Q31.

Supporting healthy and thriving communities

Q32.

Elevating Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders knowledge systems

Q33.

Protecting and restoring Australia's environment

Q34.

Q35.

2.3 The case for a new NRI capability, or enhancements to existing capabilities, typically emerges through advocacy from research communities clustering around rigorously identified needs and goals. Such a concept could respond to a requirement for novel or expanded capacity within a domain, or across domains, and must be such that it could only be made available with national-level investment.

If you have identified such a requirement, briefly describe the need, the proposed infrastructure capability, the medium-term goals, impacted research communities, and the timeframe over which you advocate its establishment. Your response can include links to relevant existing reports.

1. The 2026 Roadmap should achieve stable and ongoing Commonwealth funding for the National Collaborative Research Infrastructure Strategy (NCRIS), as a primary structural dependency to growth of national science and innovation capability. Equivalent long term funding assurance as provided to entities such as the MRFF and newly Geoscience Australia will properly enable NCRIS to get more value from investment in research across universities, industry and government; harness and grow business investment in R&D; and leverage our scientific strengths to help address national priorities and foster new industries. 2. Australia requires concerted national leadership for the strategic development and delivery of biological models as research infrastructure. The fragility of this sector as demonstrated by the persistent disruption caused by the transition of ownership of the Animal Resources centre to a new commercial provider of lab animals, and the significant national opportunity of supporting non-animal modelling infrastructure – annual revenue from organoids and organ-on-chip technologies could reach an estimated \$1.6 billion by 2040, creating more than 5000 new jobs in Australia – requires a concerted national response. 3. Biobanks have been persistently neglected in responses to successive roadmaps, and the deferral of the collections step change opportunity as part of the 2021 Roadmap is a sign that they will once again miss out for meaningful support. Biobanks are vital infrastructure for Australia's medical research effort, curating millions of unique and irreplaceable biological and clinical samples which, when discoverable and reusable, provide individual and population level health insights to improve healthcare and disease prevention nationwide. International examples of successful coordination of biobanking infrastructure, such as the BBMRI-ERIC and the UK biobank, show what can be achieved if properly supported. 4. The digital science revolution will underpin research and research infrastructure in ways that we don't fully yet comprehend. The National Digital Research Infrastructure Strategy is a welcome and necessary first step towards underpinning current and future research infrastructure with necessary digital support, and this should be continued in the 2026 Roadmap. Specifically, existing infrastructure capabilities should be enabled to take advantage of digital research opportunities on behalf and in service of their own individual communities, through in house skills and digital infrastructure.

Q36.

Part 3: Industry perspectives

This section is seeking input specifically from industry-based respondents. Other respondents can skip this section.

Recommendation 6 of the [2021 Roadmap](#) related to improvements in industry engagement with NRI. To complement work on this topic that has occurred since then, we are seeking additional advice on NRI requirements as perceived by current or potential industry-based users.

Q37.

3.1 Have you (or your organisation) interreacted with or used Australia's NRI?

☒ Yes

☐ No

Q38.

3.2 If so, please briefly outline the NRI capabilities you (or your organisation) have interacted with or used. Do not limit your response to NCRIS capabilities.

Q39.

3.3 Please indicate your (one or more) primary reasons for interacting with NRI:

- ☐ For expertise or advice
- ☐ Access to research resources or products
- ☐ Access to equipment for research
- ☐ Access to equipment for operational reasons
- ☐ Help in translating research
- ☐ Access to data
- ☐ Support for clinical trials
- ☐ Other (please specify)

Q40.

3.4 If you answered no, please indicate your (one or more) primary reasons:

This question was not displayed to the respondent.

Q41.

Part 4: Other comments

4.1 Please elaborate on any of your above responses or add any other comments relevant to the development of the 2026 Roadmap. Your response can include reference or links to existing reports that you recommend be considered during the 2026 Roadmap development process.

Q49.

4.2 Optional Document Attachment.

Note: Our strong preference is that answers are provided against the relevant questions in the survey. However, this file upload option is available for submissions in file format, where needed. Please ensure the document includes your name or organisation.