| <b>Please note</b> : the substantive content of the 2026 NRI Roadmap Survey begins at Question 20 (with prior questions dealing with administrative and other information). |
|---|
| As such all submissions that are published include the responses submitted from Question 20 onwards only.   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |

Q20.

## Part 2: Research themes

2.1 NRI comprises the assets, facilities and associated expertise to support leading-edge research and innovation in Australia and is accessible to publicly and privately funded users across Australia and internationally. We are seeking your input on possible directions for future national-level investment - i.e., where the requirements are of such scale and importance that national-level collaboration and coordination are essential.

The <u>2021 Roadmap</u> used a challenge framework to support NRI planning and investment. With this in mind, consider likely future research trends in the next 5 - 10 years, and with respect to one or more of the 8 challenge areas identified in the 2021 Roadmap as listed below:

- describe emerging research directions and the associated critical research infrastructure requirements that are either not currently available at all, or not at sufficient scale and
- describe current national infrastructure requirements that you anticipate will no longer fit the definition of NRI in 5-10 years.

Do not limit your commentary to NCRIS funded capabilities.

Q21.

## **Resources Technology and Critical Minerals Processing**

The ACNS provides a first tier facility of neutron scattering worldwide. However, the majority of investment have been in the source and instruments. To fully capitalize on this it is essential that more resources are provided towards a wide range of sample environments to allow cutting edge experiments to be performed. My own interests are in the in-operando studies of magnetic system useful for information technology, and requires the ability to study devices in-situations at the beam line while they are in operation. To perform such measurements a wider range of equipment is necessary, and importantly high level support at ACNS to integrate the equipment with data collection system. Note that many facilities suffer the same limitations, but this also means there is a great potential for high impact at places that gets this right. I consider the Paul Scherrer Institute a model for how this can be done.

| Q22.                               |
|------------------------------------|
| Food and Beverage                  |
|                                    |
|                                    |
|                                    |
|                                    |
|                                    |
|                                    |
| Q23.<br>Medical Products           |
| Miedical i Toducts                 |
|                                    |
|                                    |
|                                    |
|                                    |
|                                    |
| Q24.                               |
| Defence                            |
|                                    |
|                                    |
|                                    |
|                                    |
|                                    |
|                                    |
| Q25.<br>Recycling and Clean Energy |
| Recycling and Clean Energy         |
|                                    |
|                                    |
|                                    |
|                                    |
|                                    |
| Q26.                               |
| Q26.<br><b>Space</b>               |
|                                    |
|                                    |
|                                    |
|                                    |
|                                    |
|                                    |
| Q27.                               |
| Environment and Climate            |
|                                    |
|                                    |
|                                    |

| Q28. Frontier Technologies and Modern Manufacturing   |
|---|
|   |
|   |
| <ul> <li>Q29.</li> <li>2.2 The 2024 statement of National Science and Research Priorities (NSRPs) includes outcomes linked to each priority to assist in identifying critical research needed in the next 5 to 10 years.</li> <li>Consider the priority statements and, with respect to one or more of the 5 priority areas as listed below: <ul> <li>describe emerging research directions and the associated critical research infrastructure requirements that are either not currently available at all, or</li> <li>not at sufficient scale and describe current national infrastructure requirements that you anticipate will no longer fit the definition of NRI in 5-10 years.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Do not limit your commentary to NCRIS funded capabilities, and where relevant, refer to the underpinning outcomes and research identified in the NSRPs document.</li> </ul> |
| Q30.  Transitioning to a net zero future  |
|   |
| Q31. Supporting healthy and thriving communities  |
|   |
| Q32.<br>Elevating Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders knowledge systems  |
|   |
| Q33.  Protecting and restoring Australia's environment  |
|   |

| Building a secure and resilient nation  |
|---|
|   |
|   |
| Q35.  2.3 The case for a new NRI capability, or enhancements to existing capabilities, typically emerges through advocacy from research communities clustering around rigorously identified needs and goals. Such a concept could respond to a requirement for novel or expanded capacity within a domain, or across domains, and must be such that it could only be made available with national-level investment.  If you have identified such a requirement, briefly describe the need, the proposed infrastructure capability, the medium-term goals, impacted research communities, and the timeframe over which you advocate its establishment. Your response can include links to relevant existing reports. |
|   |
| Q36.  Part 3: Industry perspectives This section is seeking input specifically from industry-based respondents. Other respondents can skip this section.  Recommendation 6 of the 2021 Roadmap related to improvements in industry engagement with NRI. To complement work on this topic that has occurred since then, we are seeking additional advice on NRI requirements as perceived by current or potential industry-based users.  |
| Q37. 3.1 Have you (or your organisation) interreacted with or used Australia's NRI?   |
| ○ Yes   |
| No  |
| Q38. 3.2 If so, please briefly outline the NRI capabilities you (or your organisation) have interacted with or used. Do not limit your response to NCRIS capabilities.  |
| This question was not displayed to the respondent.  |
| Q39. 3.3 Please indicate your (one or more) primary reasons for interacting with NRI:   |

This question was not displayed to the respondent.

| ✓ I did not know about it  |
|--|
| Other facilities suit my needs better  |
| I would like to, but cannot get access due to geographical location  |
| ☐ I would like to, but believed that access was only available to academic researchers   |
| I am not aware of any capability that meets my needs   |
| Other (please specify)   |
|  |
| Part 4: Other comments 4.1 Please elaborate on any of your above responses or add any other comments relevant to the development of the 2026 Roadmap. Your response can include reference or links to existing reports that you recommend be considered during the 2026 Roadmap development process. |
|  |

*Q40.* 3.4 If you answered no, please indicate your (one or more) primary reasons: