

20 May 2026

Ms Kate Tagg  
Acting Higher Education Tuition Protection Director  
c/o Department of Education  
GPO Box 9880  
CANBERRA ACT 2601

Dear Ms Tagg

**Re: 2026 Up-front Payments Tuition Protection Levy Final Advice**

In accordance with subsection 167-35(2) of the *Higher Education Support Act 2003*, I am writing to you to provide the Board's final advice in relation to the Risk Rated Premium and Special Tuition Protection components of the Up-front Payments Tuition Protection Levy for 2026.

In formulating its advice, the Higher Education Tuition Protection Fund Advisory Board (the Board) has considered a number of issues including the advice from the Australian Government Actuary (AGA) and the quantum of funds required for the long-term sustainability of the Higher Education Tuition Protection Fund (the Fund).

The Board has been assisted in its deliberations by the following 'guiding principles':

1. Advice provided to the TPS Director should reflect the overall risk environment and ensure that revenue matches what is needed to sustain the relevant fund, while also being sustainable for the industry.
2. The model for each levy should, as far as possible, reflect gradual change and assist the industry with business planning by providing a stable regulatory environment.
3. The model should be as simple and transparent as possible, preferably based on a small number of risk factors.
4. Risk premiums imposed should provide incentives for providers to adopt positive behaviours, and
5. Additional imposts on industry, such as data collection, should be minimised as far as possible, consistent with the ability to set sound risk-based levies.

The Board agrees with the AGA's recommendation to retain the existing risk factor settings and rates for the Up-front Payments Tuition Protection Levy, including the current financial strength measure, completion rate methodology and non-compliance approach subject to ongoing monitoring as additional data becomes available.

The Board is satisfied with the correlation between those factors and the risk of provider default and, therefore, a call on the Fund. The Board accepts the AGA's recommended values for the risk factors, which are outlined at the end of this letter for the purposes of subsection 13(1)(c) of the *Higher Education (Up-front Payments Tuition Protection Levy) Act 2020* (Up-front Payments Levy Act).

The Risk Rated Premium component formula includes a per student charge and a percentage rate multiple of the per \$1 revenue received by the provider.

Accordingly, the Board recommends that:

- the specified percentage rate for the Risk Rated Premium component of the Levy be 0.04% – subsection 13(1)(b), the Up-front Payments Levy Act;
- the specified per student amount for the Risk Rated Premium component of the Levy be \$2.00 – subsection 13(1)(a), the Up-front Payments Levy Act; and
- the specified percentage rate for the Special Tuition Protection component of the Levy be 0.10% – subsection 13(1)(d), the Up-front Payments Levy Act.

Yours sincerely

**Sharon Robertson**

Chair

Higher Education Tuition Protection Fund Advisory Board

**Risk factors and values for the Risk-rated Premium component of the 2026 Up-front Payments Tuition Protection Levy**

Risk factor	Category		2025 values	Recommended 2026 settings
Financial Strength <sup>1</sup>	9		0.0	0.0
	6 or 7.5		1.0	1.0
	3 or 4.5		2.0	2.0
	Provider did not submit data		2.5	2.5
Completion rate <sup>2</sup>	<b>2025 Category</b>	<b>Proposed 2026 Category</b>		
	85% or higher	85% or higher	0.0	0.0
	60% to <85%	60% to <85%	1.0	1.0
	35% to <60%	35% to <60%	2.5	2.5
	0% to <35%	0% to <35%	3.5	3.5
Non-compliance history <sup>3</sup>	A weighted late payment measure of 30 days or more		2.0	2.0
	A weighted late payment measure of 15 days or more, but less than 30 days		0.9	0.9
	A weighted late payment measure of 1 day or more, but less than 15 days		0.7	0.7
	No weighted late payment measure exists (payment made on time)		0.0	0.0

<sup>1</sup> The risk factor value for the financial strength risk factor for a leviable provider is as follows:

- (a) if the provider did not submit its financial statement, the risk factor is 2.5,
- (b) if the provider was not required to submit a financial statement, the risk factor is 0.0,
- (c) if the provider submitted its financial statement, the financial strength score is the sum of the return on assets score and the debt-to-equity score for the risk factor as set out in the table.

<sup>2</sup> The risk factor value for the completion rate risk factor for a leviable provider is as follows:

- (a) if the provider did not report any units of study for the calendar year beginning on 1 January 2025 (previous calendar year) in its statement of general information, the risk factor is 0.0,
- (b) otherwise—the risk factor value is set out in the table.

<sup>3</sup> A provider's weighted late payment measure calculation considers how many days past the due date the TPS received payment from the provider for the TPS levy payments and higher education provider charges over the past three years.